## **REMARKS**

Claim 1 calls for a coupling region between waveguides, at least one of the waveguides being segmented in the coupling region.

The office action suggests that the provision of a Bragg grating necessarily involves segmented portions of a waveguide.

However, in fact, Bragg waveguides are formed by writing ultraviolet light into the waveguide. Thus, the waveguide itself is in no way segmented in its physical structure. See the attached page from the book Fiber-Optic Communications Technology. Thus, there are no gaps or segmented regions as claimed in a conventional Bragg grating.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 28, 2005

Timothy N/Trop, Reg/No. 28,994 TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100 Houston, TX 77024 713/468-8880 [Phone] 713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Fiber-Optic Communications Technology

DJAFAR K. MYNBAEV

New York City Technical College of the City University of New York

**LOWELL L. SCHEINER** 

Polytechnic University



Upper Saddle River, New Jersey Columbus, Ohio



## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Mynbaev, Djafar K.

Fiber-optic communications technology / Djafar K. Mynbaev, Lowell L. Scheiner.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-13-962069-9

1. Optical communications. 2. Fiber optics

I. Scheiner, Lowell L. II. Title.

TK5103.59. M96 2001 621.382'75—dc21

00-044092

Vice President and Publisher: Dave Garza

Editor in Chief: Stephen Helba

Assistant Vice President and Publisher: Charles E. Stewart, Jr.

Production Editor: Alexandrina Benedicto Wolf
Production Coordination: Lisa Garboski, bookworks

Design Coordinator: Robin G. Chukes

Cover Designer: Dean Barnett
Cover Image: FPG International

Production Manager: Matthew Ottenweller

Marketing Manager: Barbara Rose

This book was set in Times Roman by The Clarinda Company. It was printed and bound by Courier Kendallville, Inc. The cover was printed by Phoenix Color Corp.

Copyright © 2001 by Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. This publication is protected by Copyright and permission should be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. For information regarding permission(s), write to: Rights and Permissions Department.



187

has a bandwidth of 0.2 nm; to get to 40 nm, one has to use a device about 1m in length. (Such FBG devices have reseed been fabricated.)

There is a frade off, between an FBG's bandwidth and its delay, that is, its compensation ability. For example, suppose an FBG introduces a 1400-ps/nm delay. This number means the FBG can introduce, theoretically, a delay of 1400 ps/nm over a 1-nm bandwidth or a delay of 140 ps/nm over a 10-nm bandwidth.

Fabrication of an FBG In 1978, Canadian scientist Kenneth Hill discovered fortuitously that the refractive index of the core of a fiber can be changed under exposure to ultraviolet light. This phenomenon, called *fiber photosensitivity*, is the physical basis for grating fabrication. There are two basic fabrication methods today: The original one—directly exposing a fiber's core to a pair of interfering UV beams—provides radiation of both maximum and minimum intensity. The minimum intensity leaves the refractive index unchanged and the maximum intensity changes the refractive index.

Hill and his colleagues also developed a second fabrication method—the phase-mask technique. Based on essentially the same interference principle, it gives much better results because of the higher grating precision it imposes.

In general, then, FBGs are considered the most promising dispersion-compensation devices. There are already many commercially available types of chirped-fiber Bragg gratings, and these seem to represent the direction in which the industry is going. FBGs are well on their way to giving DCFs stiff competition ([3], [6]). You can get an idea what commercial level the characteristics of chirped-fiber Bragg gratings have reached today by looking at the following data of a modern FBG [7]: bandwidth—from 4 to 10 nm; dispersion—from -700 ps/nm to -1400 ps/nm; insertion loss < 4 dB; PMD < 4 ps; PDL < 0.25 dB, and return loss (see Chapter 8) > 50 dB. The unit can compensate for third-order dispersion. The physical dimension is  $212 \times 155 \times 20$  mm.

Dispersion compensation is so important for long-haul systems that scientists continue to search for effective new solutions. Several competitive dispersion-compensating techniques are currently in the research stage.

Dispersion Compensation: The System Viewpoint We have to keep in mind that we need to compensate for dispersion only for the purpose of increasing system bandwidth, or bit rate. But evaluation of dispersion-compensating devices should also take into account all other performance characteristics of a fiber-optic communications system. Let's consider dispersion compensation from the system standpoint.

In modern fiber-optic communications systems, dispersion—not loss—becomes the distance-limiting factor.

If we rearrange Formula 5.13 in such a way that

$$L_{\text{max}} = 1/[4BR|D(\lambda)|\Delta\lambda], \qquad (6.11)$$

we can calculate the maximum length of a fiber link limited by chromatic dispersion. Let's take these typical parameters:  $D(\lambda) = 17 \text{ ps/nm} \cdot \text{km}$  at  $\lambda = 1550 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\Delta \lambda = 0.2 \text{ nm}$ , and BR = 2.5 Gbps. One finds  $L_{\text{max}}$  (dispersion) = 29.4 km. Our calculations of loss-distance limitations in Example 5.2.1 showed  $L_{\text{max}}$  (loss) = 73.12 km. These numbers give you an idea of how dispersion-distance and loss-distance limitations relate to each other.

It seems that with improved dispersion-compensating techniques, this problem could be overcome. For example, for a DSF fiber,  $D(\lambda)$  is not more than 2.5 ps/nm·km, which gives  $L_{\rm max}=199.9$  km. Thus loss-distance limitation becomes the major restriction again. On the other hand, if one arranges the transmission of a high-power signal with in-line optical amplifiers, it looks as though the loss-distance limit disappears. In reality, however, both approaches do not allow us to achieve our goal. Pumping too much power into a fiber and working near a zero-dispersion wavelength cause other restrictions associated with nonlinear effects. These are discussed later in this chapter.